

January 26, 1976

I think if you'll look at that and read back the impact of this law, without striking the junk dealers, we are creating a very possibly embarrassing situation to the Legislature to explain how we set up a law that would get involved in fingerprinting when a junk dealer went to a farm sale to buy a few pieces of old equipment, where they were buying and had to run into detailed description on items that were merely cast iron, junk steel, etc. in buying and keeping their records. It would virtually really put them up the wall.

I would move to amend it and strike the words "or junk dealers". I think we have a bill that is a good bill doing what we want to do with the junk dealers off. Thank you.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Motion is to amend Senator Burrows amendment to LB 431. Is there any discussion? Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, I would like to say that before we do this I think Senator Chambers should be here to defend. Here he is now. I think before we move or amend his bill we ought to have him around to talk on it. Then I would like to reserve.... If Senator Chambers wants to answer then I want to also visit about it a little bit. OK.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature. I had talked to Senator Burrows about his amendment. I have no objection to it. It won't interfere with the intent of the bill at all.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Thank you. Now Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: I wanted to know if there's a possibility, Senator Burrows, if he would answer a question.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Senator Burrows, will you respond?

SENATOR BURROWS: Yes.

SENATOR BARNETT: Do you know whether or not junk dealers would ever receive stolen goods, stolen automobiles, or act as pawnbrokers, or act in the capacity of having stolen goods or to fence different properties?

SENATOR BURROWS: I think the chances of this happening are quite remote. Now referring to stolen autos, etc. I think with the serial numbers, our records regarding automobiles will be taken care of with other provisions of law. There certainly are isolated situations where they might receive stolen goods. But the rareness of these situations would not justify such a regimented law. The problems created by having junk dealers have to furnish fingerprints on every item they had would make a worse situation the way the bill would go without this amendment. We can't regiment everybody because someone might have a problem. We haven't had the problems reported to us to justify incorporating them in this law. This I am sure of. I cannot say that the isolated instance couldn't happen.

SENATOR BARNETT: Thank you.